

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/056,878	11/13/2001	Werner Agne	A34730 (071308.0251)	1777	
7590 09/29/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Andreas Grubert			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A		
Baker Botts L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Shell Plaza				THE DRIVENIE	
910 Louisiana Street			2635		
Houston, TX 77002-4995			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/056,878	AGNE, WERNER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian A Zimmerman	2635
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2     2a)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐     3)☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  orrection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received.  ments have been received in Appl priority documents have been recureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>Λ</b> Π (	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/5/04.</li> </ul>		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2635

# **EXAMINER'S RESPONSE**

### Status of Application

In response to the applicant's amendment received on 5/14/04. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's position that claims 1-5,7-11 are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this office action:

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 5/14/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each foreign patent. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The information disclosure statement filed 8/5/04 comply with 37 CFR 1.98, It has been placed in the application file and has been considered. An initialed copy if the IDS is included with this action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2635

1. Claim 1 recites the limitation "each controller" in the next to last line.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 2-5 are rejected due to their dependence on claim 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Steenbrugge (5073773) in view of the PCT publication to Bohrer (WO 97/11848).

Bohrer shows a production machine including a plurality of units DSi, each perform a step in the printing process, see also the applicant's description of this publication in the instant specification. Bohrer shows a communication network for providing control information for operating the units. Bohrer shows a communication unit(s) 46 and 48 for each production unit Dsi. Bohrer fails to show the path selection as claimed.

In an analogous art, Van Steenbrugge shows a path selection device 5 that connects a plurality of data terminals 1-4 where any desired path connections are set up between the data terminals via a setting signal from the processor 16 to the switch 19. This provides the resources necessary for the system to identify the connection for the apparatus (or unit). Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 2635

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the setting signal from the processor as suggested by Van

Steenbrugge in the Bohrer production machine since such would provide the ability to identify the connection of the communication and apparatus.

3. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Steenbrugge (5073773) and Bohrer as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Kliman (6262550).

In an analogous art, Kliman shows a path selection device that provides a selectable path that is real time (Fast) Ethernet compliant. This provides the advantage that the data terminals can operate in a known protocol to ensure interoperability among the data terminals. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the path selection device be real time Ethernet compliant in order to provide a stable protocol for the data terminal to interoperate with.

4. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Steenbrugge (5073773) and Bohrer as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Sherer (6026095).

In an analogous art, Sherer shows a data path selection device (60,61,62,67). These path selection devices provide data connections with real time capability between the communication units and groups of communication units. Regarding the use imitations of being used in a machine tool, a production

Art Unit: 2635

machine, a robot or a printing machine, these are considered use limitations, which were common uses for such communication system as evidenced by the applicant's background discussion. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the path selection device above to connect data terminals with each other either individually or as a group as shown by Sherer since such would provide flexible connections to the user.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A Zimmerman whose telephone number is 571-272-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on Off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brián A Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2635